Action and recommendations tracker

The recommendations tracker allows scrutiny committees to monitor responses, actions and outcomes against their recommendations or requests for further action. The tracker is updated following each meeting. Once an action has been completed, it will be removed from the tracker at the next meeting.

Topic	Meeting (date raised)	Recommendation	Responsible Officer/ Member	Follow up	Response/Progress/ Deadlines	Status
Transport for the South-East Strategic Investment Plan Consultation	21/09/22	 Thanked officers for guiding the Committee through the report and answering questions. Acknowledged concern about the ongoing costs of public transport and how that contributes to public transport perhaps 	Darryl Hemming/ Anand Pillay	-	Various options are being explored to make public transport more attractive to users, including	Complete
		being prohibitive to members of the public. They would welcome officers looking at how public transport could be made a more attractive option to use.			addressing the cost of travel as already outlined in the Draft SIP. A concessionary fares scheme for young people is also being pursued as part of the County Council's Bus Service Improvement Plan.	

Topic	Meeting (date raised)	Recommendation	Responsible Officer/ Member	Follow up	Response/Progress/ Deadlines	Status
		3. Expressed concerns about aspirations within the SIP, around mitigating pinch points on busy highways within the county e.g. on the A27 and A29.		-	The highway interventions in the SIP align with the Road Network Strategy in the West Sussex Transport Plan.	Complete
		4. Expressed concerns around the vagueness and aspirations of the SIP and now understood how the SIP could influence the Government in the longer term. Remained concerned about the influence of future local planning decisions, and wished to understand who takes the initiative.		-	Local planning authorities are responsible for identifying the infrastructure needed to support planned development and take this into account in planning decisions. The County Council provides technical advice and support to this process. An additional point was added to the County Council's consultation response to highlight the risk of planning decisions to delivery of the SIP.	Complete

Topic	Meeting (date raised)	Recommendation	Responsible Officer/ Member	Follow up	Response/Progress/ Deadlines	Status
		5. Raised concerns about the vehicle fleet, especially in relation to decarbonisation, and about the deliverability of the aspirations of the SIP.		-	Noted. The County Council has ongoing initiatives related to fleet transition. These include electric vehicle charging infrastructure rollout and a program of replacement of fleet vehicles.	Complete
		6. Wished that on page 24 of the agenda papers, in the first bullet highlighting the need to safeguard strategic active travel routes from interdiction by incremental development, second bullet point, that concerns about maintenance costs be extended to all highway assets, not just active travel, and that the third bullet point be extended to include Chichester as well as the Worthing/Lancing area.		-	Safeguarding active travel routes – it is not the role of the SIP to safeguard routes as this should take place through local plans as part of the planning system. Active travel maintenance costs – ongoing maintenance costs have been considered for all the proposed interventions. The purpose of this bullet point was specifically highlight an issue with the maintenance cost	Complete

Topic	Meeting (date raised)	Recommendation	Responsible Officer/ Member	Follow up	Response/Progress/ Deadlines	Status
					of active travel infrastructure in the coastal area which appears to be an error. A27 deliverability – Noted. The response highlighted a general issue about deliverability and gave A27 Worthing and Lancing as an example. The A27 Chichester scheme is already being developed as part of the pipeline for the Government's Roads Investment Strategy and was included in the Draft SIP.	
		7. Wished to ensure the alignment between the SIP and shorter-term local plans and development management processes.			Noted – A SIP Delivery Plan is currently being prepared by TfSE. Collaboration between TfSE & WSCC will seek to ensure there is alignment between the SIP and local plans.	Complete

Topic	Meeting (date raised)	Recommendation	Responsible Officer/ Member	Follow up	Response/Progress/ Deadlines	Status
		8. Highlighted the risk to overall funding capability of the need to ensure transport systems are resilient to climate change and the need to maintain the existing network.			Noted and an additional point was added to the County County Counti's consultation response to request that resilience of existing infrastructure should be considered alongside the transport network improvements already identified in the SIP.	